Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,661	HAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David J. Steadman	1656
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed</u>		
(1) <u>David J. Steadman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tu A. Phan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 April 2009</u>	Time: approximately 3 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: draft examiner's amendment.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: NONE		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A draft examiner's amendment was provided to applicant (see attached copy) and applicant accepted the claims in the draft amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/David J. Steadman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)